

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,793	12/12/2003	Aseem Agrawal	JP920030161US1	3462	
Frederick W. C	7590 03/18/201 Tribb. III	EXAMINER			
McGinn & Gib		HOAR, COLLEEN A			
Suite 304 2568-A Riva I	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Annapolis, MI	21401		3622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/734,793		AGRAWAL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Colleen Hoar	3622		

	Colleen Hoar	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR.41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR.41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR.41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR.41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>I he proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a or NOTE:	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):      Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-9, 11-18.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-9, 11-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> </ol>	ercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Jeffrey D. Carlson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3622				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The open-ended claims do not preclude batch processing. Further, the invention of Abe uses batch processing for learning and transaction assessment and tabase building but outputs instance-in-time targeting rules(Page 2, Para 18); a framework of reinforcement learning to address the issue of sequential decision making when interactions can occur among decision outcomes. Reinforcement learning refers to a class of problems and associated techniques in which the learner is to learn how to make sequential decisions based on delayed reinforcement so as to maximize cumulative rewards...using Markov Decision Process modeling that characterize what is known about each customer at the time a decision is made (Page 4, Para 65) where one request is acted on at a time, in real time at the point of a transaction.